**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**APPELLATE SIDE**

WRIT PETITION NO.9038 OF 2004
TO
WRIT PETITION NO.9057 OF 2004
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.9065 OF 2004
TO
WRIT PETITION NO.9076 OF 2004
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.9781 OF 2004

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders. : | Court's or Judge's orders. |
|---|---|

Mr.Kevic Setalvad with Mr.Cyrus Barucha i/b Ms.Nita Madhwani for the petitioners.

Mr.Naphade i/b N.J.D'Monte for the respondents in W.P.No.9038 of 2004.

Mr.S.K.Rege i/b N.J.D'Monte for the respondents in W.P.Nos.9039/04 to 9057/04, 9065/04 to 9076/04 and 9781/04.

**CORAM: D.B.BHOSALE, J.**
**DATED: 21ST DECEMBER, 2004.**

**P.C.**:

. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This group of writ petitions has impugned the orders dated 8.9.2004 disposing of the interim notices taken out by the petitioners in their respective suits. I heard learned counsel appearing for the parties for

quite sometime. The learned counsel state that the reasons need not be recorded for disposing of this group of writ petitions and they have agreed for the following order:

. It is open for the trial court to proceed with R.A.E. suits, of which the stay has been sought, by way of the present writ petitions. In case the suits are decreed, the said decree/s shall not be executed against the petitioners until Appeal No.20 of 2000 preferred against the order of this court dated 21st/22nd October, 1999, in Writ Petition No.2328 of 1991 is finally heard and disposed of and for a period of two months thereafter if the orders passed in the appeal are adverse to the petitioners. It is needless to express that this order is passed without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties.

. The writ petitions are, accordingly, disposed of.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)